



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,067	09/11/2003	Bart De Laender	40987	6186
38505	7590	05/22/2009	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL W. TAYLOR P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791				WILKENS, JANET MARIE
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3637				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		05/22/2009		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/660,067	LAENDER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Janet M. Wilkens. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Taylor. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 64,82 and 96.

Identification of prior art discussed: Faye (French reference 2,679,482), Steinlein et al (4,013,021), British patent (832884) and Archuleta et al (6,586,504).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: briefly discussed the art rejections and why the proposed amendment filed 4/23/2009 was not entered (namely, further consideration would be required with the broadening of the independent claims).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Janet M. Wilkens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637
--	---